WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

		V.		ORD	ER OF DETE	ENTION PEND	SING TRIAL
	N	Martin Robles-Perez	Cas	e Number:	09-6142M		
and wa	s repres	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S ented by counsel. I conclude by nt pending trial in this case.					
I find by	u a propi	onderance of the evidence that	FINDINGS OF	FACT			
T IIII D				مامينان ما	maitta el fare man		
		The defendant at the time of the		•	•		ence.
		The defendant, at the time of t	_				aration and Cristania
		If released herein, the defer Enforcement, placing him/her or otherwise removed.					
		The defendant has no significa	ant contacts in the Uni	ted States o	r in the Distri	ct of Arizona.	
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably cato assure his/her future appearance.					
		The defendant has a prior crin	ninal history.				
		The defendant lives/works in N	Mexico.				
		The defendant is an amnesty substantial family ties to Mexic		substantial	ties in Arizo	na or in the U	Jnited States and has
		There is a record of prior failur	e to appear in court as	s ordered.			
		The defendant attempted to e	vade law enforcement	contact by f	fleeing from la	aw enforcemer	nt.
		The defendant is facing a max	imum of		years impriso	nment.	
at the ti	The Co ime of th	urt incorporates by reference the hearing in this matter, except	e material findings of the material findings of the as noted in the record CONCLUSIONS	d.	ervices Agen	cy which were	reviewed by the Cour
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the No condition or combination o	f conditions will reasor RECTIONS REGARD	ING DETEN	ITION		·
appeal. of the L	ctions fa . The de Jnited St	fendant is committed to the cus cility separate, to the extent praction fendant shall be afforded a reas ates or on request of an attorne e United States Marshal for the	cticable, from persons a onable opportunity for y for the Government,	awaiting or s private cons the person i ance in con	serving senter sultation with n charge of th nection with a	nces or being h defense couns ne corrections	neld in custody pending sel. On order of a cour facility shall deliver the
deliver Court.	IT IS O	RDERED that should an appea f the motion for review/reconsid	I of this detention orde	r be filed wit	h the District	Court, it is cou r to the hearinç	insel's responsibility to g set before the Distric
Service	es suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a re ently in advance of the hearing potential third party custodian.	lease to a third party is before the District Co	to be considerate to be considerated to allow	dered, it is co Pretrial Serv	unsel's respon ices an oppor	sibility to notify Pretria tunity to interview and
	DATE	D this 8 th day of April, 2	009.				
			3				
			1 Suy				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge